

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

14 MAR 2005



| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 114-175PCT | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416) | |
| International application No. PCT/CA 03/01331 | International filing date (day/month/year) 12.09.2003 | Priority date (day/month/year) 13.09.2002 |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07D335/20 | | |
| Applicant PRESCIENT NEUROPHARMA INC. et al. | | |

- This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
- This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.
 - ☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of sheets.

- This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I ☒ Basis of the opinion
- II ☐ Priority
- III ☒ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV ☒ Lack of unity of invention
- V ☒ Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI ☐ Certain documents cited
- VII ☐ Certain defects in the international application
- VIII ☐ Certain observations on the international application

| | |
|---|---|
| Date of submission of the demand 08.04.2004 | Date of completion of this report 17.01.2005 |
| Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 | Authorized Officer Wörth, C Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8726  |

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/CA 03/01331**

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-58 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-18 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/13-13/13 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
☐ the claims, Nos.:
☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/CA 03/01331**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

☐ the entire international application,

☒ claims Nos. 5-18 with respect to IA

because:

☒ the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 5-18 with respect to IA relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):

see separate sheet

☐ the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

☐ the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

☐ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.

2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:

☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

☐ the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.

IV. Lack of unity of invention

1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees, the applicant has:

☐ restricted the claims.

☒ paid additional fees.

☐ paid additional fees under protest.

☐ neither restricted nor paid additional fees.

2. ☐ This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.

3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/CA 03/01331**

☐ complied with.

☐ not complied with for the following reasons:

4. Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report:

☐ all parts.

☒ the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-9 (all parts, subject-matter relating to X=S), 10-20 (all complete) .

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

| | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Novelty (N) | Yes: Claims | 1-12, 14-18 |
| | No: Claims | 13 |
| Inventive step (IS) | Yes: Claims | |
| | No: Claims | 1-18 |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Yes: Claims | 1-4 |
| | No: Claims | |

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01331

1. Re Item I (*Basis of the report*)

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 99/54280 A (CAN.) 28 October 1999 (1999-10-28)

D2: PELLICCIARI R ET AL: "Synthesis and preliminary evaluation of (S)-2-(4'-carboxycubyl)glycine, a new selective mGluR1 antagonist" BIOORGANIC & MEDICINAL CHEMISTRY LETTERS, OXFORD, GB, vol. 8, no. 12, 16 June 1998 (1998-06-16), pages 1569-1574, XP004137086 ISSN: 0960-894X

2. Re Item III (*Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability*)

2.1 For the assessment of the present claims 5-18 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

2.2 In receipt of an invitation to pay additional fees or to restrict in view of non-unity of the present application (see point 3 below), the Applicant paid an additional fee for the subject-matter of Group 5. Accordingly, no opinion is established for subject-matter relating to compounds of formula I wherein X is O, NH, S=O or S=O₂ (subject-matter of claims 1-9 in part).

3. Re Item IV (*Lack of unity of invention*)

The use of disclaimers or provisos in order to establish novelty of the to be claimed subject-matter over prior art may render the subject-matter of the application in suit non-unitary, since structures exhibiting the same activity may be excluded by those disclaimers or provisos. All inventions claimed in the same patent application shall involve at least one special technical feature.

In the present case, thioxanthines according to compound 6 of D1 are known having the same biological activity (see D1, page 15-19). Accordingly, neither common **structural** feature nor a common feature relating to a particular **activity** of the compounds of claim 1 is apparent which represents a contribution over the prior art and which would render the subject-matter of claim 1 unitary.

Furthermore, since the specific technical feature of claims 10-20 appears to be represented by the **prophylactic activity** of the compounds described, unity among the subject-matter of claims 1-9 on the one hand and claims 10-20 on the other hand is not apparent.

Accordingly, the IPEA found the following five inventions in this international application:

- Group 1: Claims 1-9 (all part), 13
subject-matter related to compounds wherein **X is S**
- Group 2: Claims 1-9 (all part)
subject-matter related to compounds wherein **X is O**
- Group 3: Claims 1-9 (all part)
subject-matter related to compounds wherein **X is NH**
- Group 4: Claims 1-9 (all part)
subject-matter related to compounds wherein **X is S=O or S=O₂**
- Group 5: Claims 10-12 and 14-18
subject-matter related to a **prophylactic activity**

4. Re Item V (*Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement*)

4.1 Subject-matter

The present application discloses in claim 1 xanthenyl cubane derivatives as agonists of antagonists at certain metabotropic glutamate receptors being useful in the treatment of neurological disorders.

Claims 10-20 disclose these compounds in the prevention of the said diseases.

4.2 Novelty

4.2a Group 1 (claims 1-9 (all part), 13)

Document D1 discloses in claim 1 cubane derivatives characterized by R₃ being

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01331

H, aliphatic, aromatic or heterocyclic. In particular, example 3 of D1 discloses a thioxanthenyl derivative (see compound 6).

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 differs from D1 in view of the proviso of claim 1 excluding compound 6 of D1.

The subject-matter of claim 13 is not novel in view of D1 (see figure 1; see paragraph bridging pages 18 and 19; see claim 7).

The subject-matter of claims 1-9 and 13 differs from D2 in present R₉.

The requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT are not fulfilled since claim 13 is not novel over D1.

4.2b Group 5 (claims 10-12 and 14-18)

The subject-matter of Group 5 differs from D1 and D2 in view of the **prophylactic** use.

4.3 Inventive step

Document D1 is considered as closest prior art. This document discloses cubane derivatives as agonists or antagonists of the metabotropic glutamate receptor system being useful in the treatment of diseases of the central nervous system.

4.3a Group 1 (claims 1-9 (all part), 13)

Until claims have been received which satisfy the requirement of novelty, a final decision on the inventive step of the present application cannot be taken.

Provisionally, document D1 is considered as closest prior art.

In view of this document, the problem to be solved can be regarded as the provision of further compounds exhibiting the same activity as D1.

The solution to this problem consists in compounds according to claim 1.

However, the problem is at present not considered as being solved in view of the fact that the results presented on pages 53-58 relate to compound 6 with is excluded by the proviso of claim 1. Accordingly, it has not been shown that the

claimed alternatives to this compound (already known from D1 for the same purpose) exhibit the alleged activity.

In addition, the subject-matter of present claim 1 is considered as a selection in view of present R₃ over the generic disclosure of D1. Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if the claimed selection presents unexpected effects or properties in relation to the rest of the range. However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application, in particular in comparison to compound 6 of D1 which represents the closest approximation.

Hence, no inventive step is present in the subject-matter of claims 1-9 and 13.

4.3b Group 5 (claims 10-12 and 14-18)

In view of D1, the problem to be solved can be regarded in the provision of further compounds having an unexpected effect/use.

The solution consists in compounds according to claim 10-12 and 18-20 for the prophylaxis of central nervous diseases.

Neuroprotective effects have been shown in examples 3 and 4 of the specification.

This solution is considered as obvious since thioxanthanyl derivatives of cubanes are already known from D1 having a CNS activity based on antagonizing and/or agonizing metabotropic glutamate receptors. Since it is known that mGluRs have therapeutic potential for the treatment of neurological disorders, the man skilled in the art would not be surprised starting from D1 that the compounds of the present invention exhibit prophylactic activity. D1 e.g. discloses that mGluRs protect nerve cells from excitotoxic damage resulting from ischemia, hypoglycaemia and anoxia (see D1, page 4, first paragraph).

Hence, no inventive step is present in the subject-matter of claims 10-12 and 14-18.

4.4 Industrial applicability

For the assessment of the present claims 5-18 on the question whether they are

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA 03/01331

industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

4.5 Further matters

- a) The claim numbers 17 and 18 appear twice. The set of claims should be renumbered accordingly.
- b) Claims 10 and 12 appear to be identical thereby leading to a lack of conciseness.